

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,420	08/30/2001	Ren Da	Da 9-12	7422
7590 01/25/2007 Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219) Lucent Technologies Inc 101 Crawfords Corner Road			EXAMINER LEE, JOHN J	
,			2618	
	<u> </u>			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/942,420	DA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN J. LEE	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (B6(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ar</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 April 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11)	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 09/942,420

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jolley et al. (US 6,323,803).

Regarding claims 1, 11, and 15, Jolley teaches that selecting at least one ranging measurement associated with a first ranging source (first position measurement from directly satellite) belonging to a first ranging source type (GPS in satellite) (Fig. 1 and column 5, lines 8 – column 6, lines 57, where teaches a mobile station receives a ranging measurement source, position measurement signal, GPS signal from the a satellite).

Jolley teaches that selecting at least one ranging measurement associated with a second ranging source (cell site base station or mobile location center) belonging to a second ranging source type (location signal) (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 5 – column 7, lines 50, where teaches the mobile station also receives positioning information, ranging measurement information form cell site base station with mobile location center for estimating location). Jolley teaches that extracting ranging measurements from ranging

sources belonging to at least two ranging source types (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 5 – column 7, lines 58, where teaches storing received first source and second source location information and updating the location information periodically, and extracting the two location information source, satellite GPS type or cellular based communication type, and comparing the first range and the second range location information). Jolley teaches that performing failure detection using the selected ranging measurements associated with the first and second ranging sources to determine whether either of the first or second ranging sources failed (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 5 – column 7, lines 58, where teaches storing received first source and second source location information and updating the location information periodically, and comparing the first range and the second range location information, and if the one of the information is old location information or failure, determining and using the other location information (most recent location information or received the information successfully) for estimating the position).

Regarding **claims 2 and 13**, Jolley teaches that failure detection is performed using weighted ridge regression techniques (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 5 – column 7, lines 58, where teaches the method for detecting and comparing the first range and second range using determining position technique).

Regarding claim 3, Jolley teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claim 1.

Regarding **claim 4**, Jolley teaches that the first ranging source is a satellite system (Fig. 1 and column 5, lines 8 – column 6, lines 57, where teaches a mobile station receives a ranging measurement source, position measurement signal, GPS signal from the a satellite) and the second ranging source type is a land based wireless

communication network (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 5 – column 7, lines 50, where teaches the mobile station also receives positioning information, ranging measurement information form cell site base station with mobile location center for estimating location).

Regarding **claim 12**, Jolley teaches that performing failure isolation using the selected ranging measurements (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 5 – column 7, lines 58, where teaches storing received first source and second source location information and updating the location information periodically, and comparing the first range and the second range location information, and if the one of the information is old location information or failure, determining and using the other location information (most recent location information or received the information successfully) for estimating the position).

Regarding claim 14, Jolley teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claim 1.

Regarding **claim 16**, Jolley teaches that selecting ranging measurements is based on perceived reliability associated with each of the extracted ranging measurements (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 5 – column 7, lines 58, where teaches storing received first source and second source location information and updating the location information periodically, and extracting the two location information source, satellite GPS type or cellular based communication type, and comparing the first range and the second range location information).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,420

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jolley in view of Fernandez-Corbaton et al. (US 6,289,280).

Regarding claims 5 - 9, Jolley does not specifically disclose the limitation "the ranging measurement associated with the first or second ranging source is a PN phase offset measurement, a pilot phase offset measurement, a signal strength measurement of a signal transmitted by the first or second ranging source, and a round trip delay and one way delay between a receiver and the first or second ranging source". However, Fernandez teaches the limitation "the ranging measurement associated with the first or second ranging source is a PN phase offset measurement (column 3, lines 21 – 39 and Fig. 3, where teaches measuring PN offsets of each pilot it receives for location information), a pilot phase offset measurement (column 3, lines 21 – column 4, lines 53 and Fig. 3, where teaches measuring pilot power phase offsets for location information), a signal strength measurement of a signal transmitted by the first or second ranging source (column 3, lines 21 – column 4, lines 53 and Fig. 3, where teaches measuring pilot power phase offsets (signal strength of each pilot signals) for location information), and a round trip delay and one way delay between a receiver and the first or second ranging source

(column 2, lines 16 – column 3, lines 18 and Fig. 3, where teaches determining round trip delay and propagation delay)". It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Jolley system as taught by Fernandez, provide the motivation to improve reliability and stability of determining location measurement for mobile station in mobile communication system.

Regarding **claim 10**, Jolley teaches all the limitation, as discussed in claim 1. However, Jolley does not specifically disclose the limitation "the ranging measurement indicates an enhanced observed time difference between a receiver and the first or second ranging source". However, Fernandez teaches the limitation "the ranging measurement indicates an enhanced observed time difference between a receiver and the first or second ranging source" (column 2, lines 16 – column 3, lines 18 and Fig. 3, where teaches determining time-difference of arrival of the two pilots from two sources). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Jolley system as taught by Fernandez, provide the motivation to improve reliability and stability of determining location measurement for mobile station in mobile communication system.\

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chou et al. (US 2002/0097181) discloses Location-Determination Method and Apparatus.

Information regarding...Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system... at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)."

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Or P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313

or faxed (571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or: (703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to USPTO Headquarters, Alexandria, VA.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John J. Lee** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7880. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30am-5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Edward Urban**, can be reached on (571) 272-7899. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

J.L January 17, 2007

John J Lee